

Numerical Models of the high-z Universe Dominique AUBERT Observatoire Astronomique, Université de Strasbourg

EOR

Robertson et al. 2010



Epoch of Reionization ~200 Myrs - 1Gyr z~30 - 6

<u>Challenge</u> :

Multiple & Simultaneous «coarse grained» quantities to fit, driven by source creation and light propagation « Source / IGM connection »



Zahn et al. 2012

What is different at z>6 (for numerical models) ?

- Light appears and has its own dynamics : RT, Light-matter interaction, No UV background, X-Rays ?,
- Cosmic Scales contraints are available and are tightly related to galactic (and sub galactic scales) process : scale dynamics
- Close to ICs / Small density contrasts
 : impact on halo detection, on star
 formation recipes, particle noise



Family Tree



Not the most sophisticated tools, but surely among the fastest

ATON



Gas & Source density = outputs of hydrodynamical code (RAMSES/GADGET)

STEP 1

Radiative transport



lonisation State



Temperature



-ATON -

Cartesian Grid M1 Moment method Explicit solver : dt < dx /c STEP 2

GPUS: GRAPHICS PROCESSING UNITS



GPU=Graphics Devices

1 Device

= 1 parallel computer highly efficient at crunching numbers

Using CUDA extension to C language

aton -> CUDATON

PERFORMANCES GPUS VS CPUS



x 80 acceleration factor Massively parallel

Aubert & Teyssier, 08,10

POST PROCESSING WITH CUDATON



Curie, CCRT-CEA

TITAN

- Hybrid code RAMSES/ CUDATON
- 4096³, 64 Mpc/h
- End 2013 ~ 80 Millions
 CPU hours
- 8192 GPUs (TITAN/ORNL)
- Local Group in a cosmological environment
- PI : P. Shapiro (U.Texas) / Co-I P. Ocvirk (Strasbourg)



EMMA: INGREDIENTS

Gravity



PIC CIC Multigrid + RB GSeidel

Hydrodynamics



Radiation



M1 Out of equilibrium H Chemistry Multi-frequency transfert (incl. X rays)



Fully-Threaded Tree AMR MPI Space-Filling Curve Essential Tree C + CUDA



16 millions coarse cells + DM particles - 24 Mpc/h Mass resolution: 1e8 Ms Spatial resolution : 93 kpc/h (coarse) 6 kpc/h (AMR level 12) ~15 hours on 256 processors



SOURCES & IGM REIONISATION CONNEXION



Lack of simultaneous fit for xion and J21 to observations : POC Photon Overproduction (Crisis)

Aubert & Teyssier 2010

GEOMETRY OF OVERLAPING HI REGIONS



Emission=S(t)Star particles

Star particles

Em.=Cst Em.=Mhalo Halo

The 3 models have similar reionization histories

Chardin, Aubert et al. 2012

SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF HII REGIONS



Rise of a master HII region

Large Scale equivalence Halo and Stellar models

Halo models produce small HII regions, which are not found for Stellar models

Chardin, Aubert et al. 2012

Different source properties lead to different reionization histories + geometry + evolution <u>Statistical</u> constraints on these properties will tighten the range

of possible reionization scenarios

In fact we found that galaxies experience very different local reionization histories which could lead to different SFH We also know that there is variance in the LF Hence the need to undestand the **population** of high z sources





IGM 21 CM SIGNAL



0% X

1% X

10% X

L = 1 Gpc, z~10, xion= 0.5 %

To sum up...

The Reionization process is dependent on the source description (geometry, timing, SFR, LF)

We have the tools to probe some of these dependances

In a few years, we obtained tighter constraints on SFR, LF

At the same time, the models will have to fit constraints on IGM

This IGM-Source Connection must be probed.